Theoret. chim. Acta (Berl.) 2, 386-392 (1964)

### Istituto di Chimica Fisica, Università di Pisa

# An Interpretation of the Nuclear Quadrupole Coupling Constant for the HCl Molecule on the Basis of an "Ab Initio" Calculation

#### By

#### E. SCROCCO and J. TOMASI

The results of a LCAO MO SCF calculation of the quadrupole coupling constant of chlorine in the HCl molecule (carried out with a fairly extended set of basic orbitals) are analyzed. Sensible deformations of the internal shells and of the  $p_{\sigma}$ -bonding,  $p_{\sigma}$ -lone pair and  $p_{\pi}$  type orbitals of the valence shell of chlorine are evidenced.

Die Ergebnisse einer verhältnismäßig ausgedehnten LCAO-MO-SCF-Rechnung zur Bestimmung der Quadrupolkopplungskonstanten für Chlor in HCl werden untersucht. Die Verzerrungen, die der Rumpf und die verschiedenen Zustände der Valenzschale erleiden, werden dabei gesondert wiedergegeben.

Les résultats d'un calcul LCAO-MO-SCF (avec un jeu d'orbitales assez étendu) de la constante de couplage quadripolaire du Cl dans la molécule de HCl sont analysés. On trouve que et les couches internes et les orbitales  $p_{\sigma}$  liante,  $p_{\sigma}$  libre et  $p_{\pi}$  de la couche de valence du chlore sont sensiblement déformées.

The "a priori" evaluation of a variable associated with a one-electron operator, such as the quadrupole coupling constant  $e^2qQ_A$  in an isolated molecule, requires, beside the knowledge of the nuclear quadrupole moment  $eQ_A$  of the nucleus A, also a very accurate calculation of the ground state wave function of the molecule, which is necessary to evaluate the electric field gradient eq.

When the electronic wave function is represented by a single Slater's determinant, in the SCF-LCAO-MO approximation, the expectation value of the field gradient, can be written as:

$$eq = 2 \operatorname{tr} \boldsymbol{R} \left( e \boldsymbol{q} \right) - e \sum_{\alpha} Z_{\alpha} \left( 3 \cos^2 \vartheta_{A\alpha} - 1 \right) / r_A^3 \quad , \tag{1}$$

where  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{C} \mathbf{C}^{\dagger}$ , and  $\mathbf{C}$  is the rectangular matrix of the coefficients which describe the molecular orbitals as linear combinations of a set of pre-selected basic functions  $\chi$ ;  $e\mathbf{q}$  is a matrix with elements:

$$(eq)_{rs} = e \int \chi_r^* (1) \left[ (3\cos^2 \vartheta_{1A} - 1) / r_{1A}^3 \right] \chi_s (1) d\tau$$

 $r_A$  and  $\vartheta_{A\alpha}$  (measured with respect to the z axis), define the position of the generic nucleus  $\alpha$ , having a charge  $Z_{\alpha}$ , with respect to the quadrupolar nucleus A.

The lack of sufficiently approximate wave functions has led to the assumption of simplifying hypotheses. Therefore, it will be useful to reinterpret the results of a completely "ab initio" calculation using chemical intuition to make evident, as much as possible, the various contributions to the field gradient. To this purpose, the results of a SCF-LCAO-MO calculation will be used, that have been carried out by the Authors [7] by the direct minimization of the energy through the density matrix  $\boldsymbol{R}$ , as first proposed by MCWEENY [4].

The selected set of basic functions is sufficiently more extended than the necessary minimum and is composed of 19 Slater-type functions, of which the orbital exponents are reported in Tab. 1. It is to note that this set cannot describe

	orbital exponent		orbital exponent
$1s_{\mathrm{H}} = h$	1.27	$2p_{xC1} = 2x$	8.35
$2p_{\sigma H} = p$	2.20	$2p'_{xc1} = 2\xi$	4.50
$1s_{c1} = 1s$	16.7	$3p_{xC1} = 3x$	2.64
$2s_{C1} = 2s$	8.35	$3p'_{x_{Cl}} = 3\xi$	1.42
$2s'_{c1} = 2\sigma$	4.50	$2p_{yC1} = 2y$	8.35
$3s_{C1} = 3s$	2.64	$2p'_{ m vCl} = 2\eta$	4.50
$3s'_{ m cI} = 3\sigma$	1.42	$3p_{yC1} = 3y$	2.64
$2p_{zC1} = 2z$	8.35	$3p'_{ m vCl} = 3\eta$	1.42
$2 \hat{p}_{ m zC1}' = 2 \zeta$	4.50	1 200	
$3p_{zC1} = 3z$	2.64		
$\hat{3p}_{z ext{cl}}^{'}=3\zeta$	1.42		

Table 1. Basis functions used for HCl

the small percent of  $\pi$  bonding that the molecule very probably has [5], and that the energy has not been minimized with respect to the orbital exponents.

In Tab. 4 of ref. [7] the matrices  $\boldsymbol{R}$  and  $(e\boldsymbol{q})$ , which are necessary for calculating equation (1), are reported. It is found:

$$eq = (eq)_{el} + (eq)_{nucl} = 2 tr \, \boldsymbol{R} \, (e\boldsymbol{q}) - 2 \, \frac{e}{r_{Hcl}^3} = 3.789 + 0.143 = 3.932 \text{ a. u}$$

Such a result gives, for the quadrupole coupling constant, the value  $e^2qQ = 72.9$  MH, in good agreement with the assumed experimental value<sup>\*</sup>.

To separate the various contributions of the molecular orbitals of the inner shells from those of the valence shell, let us refer to the matrix C reported in Tab. 2.

Such a matrix has been calculated by diagonalizing the Fock's  $\mathbf{F}$  matrix, which had been obtained from the  $\mathbf{R}$  matrix of the system. The two orbitals  $\varphi^b$  and  $\varphi^{nb}$  are the following linear combinations:



Bonding and lone pair orbitals for HCl, along the internuclear axis

$$\begin{split} \varphi^b &= 0.473218 \, \varphi_{4\sigma} + 0.880945 \, \varphi_{5\sigma} \\ \varphi^{nb} &= 0.880945 \, \varphi_{4\sigma} - 0.473218 \, \varphi_{5\sigma} \ , \end{split}$$

<sup>\*</sup> The quadrupole coupling constant of gaseous  $HCl^{35}$  is not known, but there is good reason to believe that its value is very close to that of gaseous  $DCl^{35}$ , which amounts to 67.3 MH [1].

	$\varphi_{1\sigma}$	$arphi_{2\sigma}$	$\varphi_{3\sigma}$	$\varphi^b$	$\varphi^{nb}$	$\varphi_{1\pi}, \varphi_{1\overline{\pi}}$	$\varphi_{2\pi}, \varphi_{2\overline{\pi}}$
h	0.00011	-0.00430	-0.00273	-0.52557	0.00065		
p	0.00031	-0.01744	-0.00696	-0.04993	-0.00684		
18	-0.98923 0.98923	$0.32065 \\ 0.32083$	-0.00470	-0.01254	-0.09150		
28	$-0.02604 \\ 0.02599$	$-0.26299 \\ -0.26475$	0.00512	0.00825	0.04627		
2σ	$0.00996 \\ -0.00987$	$-0.91454 \\ -0.91161$	0.01101	0.07009	0.40699		
38	-0.00361 0.00345	$0.22769 \\ 0.21967$	-0.00088	-0.09986	-0.98661		
3σ	$0.00131 \\ -0.00132$	-0.08721 0.08767	0.00213	0.08842	-0.17552		
2z	-0.00024	-0.00261	-0.50080 -0.50302	0.09804	-0.03102		
2ζ	0.00025	-0.01502	$-0.58176 \\ -0.57901$	0.15235	-0.03588		
3z	-0.00026	0.01142	$0.07092 \\ 0.06832$	-0.49223	0.12745		
3ζ	0.00004	-0.00158	$-0.02434 \\ -0.02510$	-0.24368	0.14082		
2x				<u>.</u>	<u> </u>	0.50349	-0.12807
2ξ						0.57855	-0.17719
3x						-0.06830	0.60161
$3\xi$						0.02495	0.53581
$eq_{zz}^{\mathrm{HCl}}$	0	-0.025	-158.601	-6.589	-0.545	-79.634	5.141
		1		1			1

Table 2. Molecular orbitals for HCl and Cl-

which have been obtained by an orthogonal transformation of the delocalized orbitals  $\varphi_{4\sigma}$  and  $\varphi_{5\sigma}$  of Ref. [7]. This transformation has been chosen in such a way to minimize the contributions of the *h* and *p* orbitals centered on the H atom in the  $\varphi^{nb}$  function, and therefore reduces the  $\varphi^{nb}$  itself to a "quasi" atomic orbital (see Fig. 1). The conclusions which we will arrive in the present work, can also be reached by using other localization methods, such as that of the "exclusive orbitals" proposed by FORSTER and BOYS [2]. In the same Tab. 2 are also shown (in italics) for the purpose of comparison, the analogous coefficients relative to the inner shells of the Cl<sup>-</sup> ion. In the last row of the table the contributions of the various molecular orbitals of HCl to the field gradient are reported.

The comparison between the coefficients of the correspondent orbitals of CI<sup>-</sup> and of HCl supports the partition of the orbitals of the latter in a core group  $(1\sigma, 2\sigma, 3\sigma, 1\pi, 1\pi)$  and a valence shell group  $(b, nb, 2\pi, 2\pi)$ .

The electronic part of eq. (1) can therefore be written in the form:

$$(eq)_{el} = \sum_{j} \left[ \sum_{r} \sum_{s} 2 c_{rj} c_{sj} (eq)_{rs} \right] + \sum_{k} \left[ \sum_{r} \sum_{s} 2 c_{rk} c_{sk} (eq)_{rs} \right]$$
(2)

in which the index j refers to the core orbitals and the index k to those of the valence shell.

In case that no polarisation effect nor any participation of the internal shells to the bond exist, the "core" contribution to  $(eq)_{el}$  would be zero. Actually, as it can be seen from the last row of Tab. 2, this contribution rises up to the value +0.642 a. u., *i.* e. the 17% of the total.

It is useful to evidence the flexibility of the molecular orbitals which is allowed by the large number of basic functions, by rewriting the valence shell orbitals in a more compact form:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{b} &= 0.07084 \ S^{b} + 0.63967 \ P_{z}^{b} + 0.52794 \ H^{b} \\ \varphi^{nb} &= 0.96974 \ S^{nb} - 0.23809 \ P_{z}^{nb} - 0.00686 \ H^{nb} \\ \varphi_{2\pi} &= P_{\pi} \end{aligned}$$
(3)

According to eq. (3), in any molecular orbital, the contributions of the basic functions of Cl are grouped in single terms, according to their quantum number l, while the contribution of the basic functions centered on the H atom are grouped in the last term. The functions S, P and H, in equations (3) are normalized and retain the angular properties and the origin of the basic functions; their explicit expressions are:

$$\begin{cases} S^{b} = 0.17705 \ (1s) - 0.11641 \ (2s) - 0.98942 \ (2\sigma) + 1.40975 \ (3s) \\ - 1.24819 \ (3\sigma) \end{cases}$$

$$P_{z}^{b} = -0.15327 \ (2z) - 0.23816 \ (2\zeta) + 0.76951 \ (3z) + 0.38095 \ (3\zeta) \end{cases}$$

$$H^{b} = 0.99552 \ (h) + 0.09458 \ (p)$$

$$\begin{cases} S^{nb} = 0.09435 \ (1s) - 0.04771 \ (2s) - 0.41969 \ (2\sigma) + 1.01740 \ (3s) \\ + 0.18099 \ (3\sigma) \end{cases}$$

$$P_{z}^{nb} = -0.13028 \ (2z) - 0.15072 \ (2\zeta) + 0.53533 \ (3z) + 0.59147 \ (3\zeta) \\ H^{nb} = 0.09468 \ (h) - 0.99855 \ (p) \end{cases}$$

$$P_{x} = -0.12807 \ (2x) - 0.17719 \ (2\xi) + 0.60161 \ (3x) + 0.53581 \ (3\xi).$$

It can be seen, by inspections of equations (4), that the formation of the molecule causes a different modification of the same function in the various orbitals. As an example, the radial parts of the functions  $P_z^b$  and  $P_z^{nb}$  are reported in Fig. 2.

By utilizing the valence shell orbitals in the form (3) the second term of eq. (2) can be written as:

$$(eq)_{\mathbf{v.s.}} = \sum_{k} \left[ \sum_{r} \sum_{s} 2 a_{rk} a_{sk} (eq)_{rs}^{k} \right] , \qquad (5)$$

26\*

where the  $a_{rk}$ 's are the coefficients of the functions (3) while the values of the  $(eq)_{rs}^k$  depend on the *k*-th molecular orbital being considered, and can easily be calculated from the coefficients of eq. (4).

The numerical values of the contributions to  $(eq)_{v.s.}$  are written below in Tab. 3 and 4.

		Table 3			Table 4			
The corresponding values of $a_{\tau k} a_{sk}$ and of $(eq)_{\tau s}^k$ are also reported in the sequence:	$\varphi^{b}$	$S^b$	$P_z^b$	Hb	$\varphi^{nb}$	$S^{nb}$	$P_z^{nb}$	$H^{nb}$
	$S^b$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00502\\0\\0\end{array}$	0.04531 0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0.03740 \\ 0.02128 \\ 0.00159 \end{array}$	$S^{nb}$	0.94039 0 0	-0.23088 0 0	$-0.00665 \\ 0.05972 \\ -0.00079$
$a_{rk}  a_{sk} \ (eq)_{rs}^k \ 2  a_{rk}  a_{sk}  (eq)_{rs}^k$	$P^b_z$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.04531\\0\\0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 0.40917 \\ -7.89044 \\ -6.45683 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 0.33771 \\ -0.04196 \\ -0.02834 \end{array}$	$P_z^{nb}$	$-0.23088 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $	$0.05668 \\ -4.79659 \\ -0.54379$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00163 \\ 0.04596 \\ 0.00015 \end{array}$
LJ	НÞ	$\begin{array}{c} 0.03740 \\ 0.02128 \\ 0.00159 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 0.33771 \\ -0.04196 \\ -0.02834 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 0.27872 \\ -0.14070 \\ -0.07843 \end{array}$	$H^{nb}$	$-0.00665 \\ 0.05972 \\ -0.00079$	$\begin{array}{r} 0.00163 \\ 0.04596 \\ 0.00015 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 0.00005 \\ -0.21277 \\ -0.00002 \end{array}$

These tables show that the contribution of the overlap terms is very small: about two orders of magnitude smaller than the dominant terms; the contribution of the terms H-H is also small and it partially compensates that of opposite sign



generated by the proton. The sum of the two amounts to 0.06 a. u. and represents 1.5% of the overall electric field gradient.

The most important terms are those of  $P \cdot P$  type, which, because of the particular sensivity of the field gradient to the form of the functions in the vicinity of the nucleus, are very different from each other:

$$eq_P^b = -7.89 \\ eq_P^{nb} = -4.80 \quad (6) \\ 2 \ eq_P^\pi = 5.14 \ {
m a.\ u.}$$

This result shows, at least partially, the limit of the semi empirical treatment, which assumes that the contributions of the P functions to the field gradient are all equal, and carries out the calculation only on the basis of the occupation numbers of these functions<sup>\*</sup>.

We may conclude that perhaps the two following results may constitute a good basis for improving the prevision of the quadrupole coupling constant of other chlorine-containing molecules:

a) the inner shell does not completely retain their spherical symmetry,

<sup>\*</sup> Analogous differences in the values of eq for the  $\sigma$  and  $\pi$  orbitals of the N<sub>2</sub> molecule has already been well evidenced by RICHARDSON [6].

b) the functions  $P_z^b P_z^{nb}$  and  $P_{\pi}$  which enter the molecular orbitals of the valence shell do not give exactly equal contributions to the field gradient, neither equal to that of the correspondent 3p functions of the isolated atom.

## Appendix

Perhaps, it is worthwhile, on the basis of the previously discussed results, to repeat the calculation according to the classical scheme. In this scheme the quadrupole coupling constant for the molecule is:

$$(e^2 q \, Q)_{\rm mol} = f \, (e^2 \, q \, Q)_{at} \tag{7}$$

where f is a factor dependent on the electronic structure of the molecule [3].

To this purpose we may rewrite eq (3) in a different form, in which the chlorine-centered functions in the  $\sigma$ -type orbitals, are collected as sp hybrids, with hybridization coefficients  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  respectively:

$$p^{b} = \frac{(\alpha S^{b} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha^{2}} P_{z}^{b})_{c1} + iH^{b}}{(1 + i^{2} + 2 i \Delta_{\text{CH}})^{1/2}}$$
(8a)

$$\varphi^{nb} = aS^{nb} + bP^{nb}_z + cH^{nb}$$

$$\simeq \sqrt{1 - \beta^2} S^{nb} - \beta P^{nb}_z$$
(8b)

$$\varphi_{2\pi} = P_{\pi} \quad . \tag{8c}$$

In eq (8b) the small contribution of the orbital  $H^{nb}$ , centered on the hydrogen atom, has been neglected [in fact, from eq (3b) one has:  $a^2 + b^2 = 0.997$  instead of 1]. It may be noted that the  $\varphi^{nb}$  function thus simplified is still orthogonal to  $\varphi^{b}$  within 0.001\*.

In eq (8a)  $\Delta_{\text{CIH}}$  is the overlap integral between the bonding *sp* hybrid of Cl and the function  $H^{\flat}$ .

We may write:

$$(e^{2} qQ)_{mol} = \left\{ \frac{n_{x} + n_{y}}{2} \left( eq_{P}^{\pi} \right) - \left[ n_{z}^{b} \left( eq_{P}^{b} \right) + n_{z}^{nb} \left( eq_{P}^{nb} \right) \right] \right\} \frac{(e^{2} qQ)_{at}}{(eq)_{at}} , \qquad (9)$$

therefore the factor f (due to the external shell) can be written as:

$$f = \frac{n_x + n_y}{2} \frac{eq_P^{\pi}}{(eq)_{at}} - \left[ n_z^b \frac{eq_P^{\pi}}{(eq)_{at}} + n_z^{nb} \frac{eq_P^{nb}}{eq_{at}} \right].$$
(10)

From eqs (8) one has, more explicitly:

$$f = 2 \frac{eq_P^{\pi}}{(eq)_{at}} - \left[ \frac{(1 - \alpha^2)(1 + I)}{1 + \Delta_{\text{CIH}}(1 - I^2)} \frac{eq_P^b}{(eq)_{at}} + 2 \beta^2 \frac{eq_P^{ab}}{(eq)_{at}} \right],$$
(11)

where

$$I=\frac{1}{1}\frac{-i^2}{+i^2}$$

In these relations the rather small contributions of the overlap charges have been neglected and the partial compensation between electronic and nuclear charges of the H atom has been utilized.

By taking  $(eq)_{at} = (e^2qQ)_{at}/eQ \sim 5.92$  a. u. and recalling equations (3) and (6) we obtain for the valence shell electrons, f = 0.55 a. u.\*\*. To this value, the contributions of the inner shells electrons, amounting to  $0.642/5.92 \sim 0.11$  a. u., must be added.

The overall value of f is then 0.66, practically equivalent to the value which can be deducted from eq. (1), against the experimental value of 0.61.

\* In the simplified scheme of TOWNES and DAILEY's theory [8] the  $\sigma$ -type lone-pair orbital of chlorine is usually taken orthogonal to the sp hybrid of the bonding function. As it is well known this is only a first approximation. It is easily seen that between the hybrids contained in the orbitals  $\varphi^{b}$  and  $\varphi^{nb}$  there is a lack of orthogonality of about 0.225.

\*\* For the values of  $(e^2qQ)_{at}$  and of eQ see, for instance, ref. [3] p. 282 and 338.

392 E. SCROCCO and J. TOMASI: Nuclear Quadrupole Coupling Constant for HCl

## Literature

- [1] COWAN, M., and G. GORDY: Physic. Rev. 111, 209 (1958).
- [2] FOSTER, J. M., and S. F. BOYS: Rev. mod. Physics 32, 300 (1960).
- [3] GORDY, W., W. V. SMITH, and R. F. TRAMBARULO: Microwave Spectroscopy. New York: John Wiley & Sons Inc. 1953.
- [4] MCWEENY, R.: Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) 235A, 496 (1956).
- [5] MULLIKEN, R. S.: Physic. Rev. 61, 281 (1942).
- [6] RICHARDSON, J. W.: Rev. mod. Physics 32, 461 (1960).
- [7] SCROCCO, E., and J. TOMASI: Molecular Orbitals in Chemistry p. 263. Eds. B. PULLMAN and P. O. LÖWDIN. New York: Academic Press 1964.
- [8] TOWNES, C. H., and B. P. DAILEY: J. chem. Physics 17, 782 (1949).

(Received August 3, 1964)